

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,580	09/25/2006	Todd D. Campbell	ETI 301	9677
23581 KOLISCH HA	7590 09/01/201 RTWELL, P.C.	EXAMINER		
200 PACIFIC	BUILDING	AZPURU, CARLOS A		
PORTLAND.	HILL STREET OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,580 CAMPBELL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Carlos A. Azpuru 1617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Pe

Period for Reply	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailine date of this communication.	 In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
,	ion is non-final. except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 78 and 98-116 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn f 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 78 and 98-116 are subject to restriction a	rom consideration.
Application Papers	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction i 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (P * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the service of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (P) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the service	ave been received. ave been received in Application No documents have been received in this National Stage CT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1. Informatic of Statemontes (PTO-9587)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Nettice of Informal Patert Application.

U.S.	Patent	and	Trade	mark	Offic
PT	OL-32	26 (Rev.	08-	06)

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Să/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,580 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

Election/Restrictions

Applicant has presented new claims in the amendment of 06/21/2010 which

 $require\ the\ additional\ election/restriction:$

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 78-115, drawn to The method using cells which have not been genetically manipulated.

Group II, claim(s) 116, drawn to a method where cells have been genetically manipulated.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I lacks the genetically manipulated cells of Group II.

If Group I elected, choose **ONE** from the following two groups of species:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1) The bioactives of claims 102 & 103.
- 2) the means of injecting of claims 109-111

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 78. 98-101. 104-108. 112-116.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are Application/Control Number: 10/587,580

Art Unit: 1617

claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Because of the complexity of the election/restriction, a telephone call was not made to James R.Abney on 08/26/2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,580

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/587,580

Art Unit: 1617

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fereydoun G. Sajjadi can be reached on (571) 272-3311. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617 Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617